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This submission contains the following recommendations:

1. As part of the voting-reform package, the Assembly should recommend creation of a permanent, non-partisan B.C. Democracy Commission, which would be charged with the review of the voting system and the administration of political parties, its mandate to uphold the democratic right of citizens to meaningful participation in all aspects of the political process.
2. British Columbia should use a modified form of Single Transferable Vote (STV).
3. In remote areas (Peace, Cariboo, Kootenays), the ideal district magnitude is 2 or 3 .

In settled areas (Okanagan, Nanaimo), the ideal district magnitude is 4 or 5 .
In urban areas (GVRD, Victoria), the ideal district magnitude is 5 to 7 .
4. "Circuits" should be used so that each community is represented by an individual MLA.
5. The districts should be based strongly on existing Regional Districts and municipalities.
6. Parties should not be able to limit the number of candidates seeking election under their banner in a given district.
7. The 2009 election should be held with the 21-district map presented in section 7.
8. After the 2009 election (using STV-C) the B.C. Democracy Commission should consult with voters in Powell River-Lillooet and North Shore, and issue a decision about whether they should be combined into a single 5-member electoral district.
After the 2013 election, the B.C. Democracy Commission should consult with northern voters and decide whether to combine the districts of Skeena-Nechako and Cariboo, and whether to combine Upper Fraser-Prince George and Peace River-Northern Rockies.
9. The Assembly should create Aboriginal Electoral Districts.

## 1. We should never stop trying to improve our democracy

The Citizens' Assembly is a marvellous achievement, one which has captured the imaginations of many in British Columbia and around the world. It was launched to address what were seen as urgent failures of our single-member-plurarlity voting system, notably the extreme (though not particularly anomalous) results of the 1996 and 2001 elections. In 1996, British Columbians did not get the government they voted for; the second-largest party won a majority. In 2001, British Columbians did not get the opposition they voted for; despite over $40 \%$ of the vote being cast for opposition parties (and not particularly fragmented, being almost entirely settled on four parties, all of them ostensibly left-leaning and/or populist) they did not among them receive enough seats to form a caucus.

I have considerable confidence in the ability of this Assembly to reach a wise conclusion and to offer British Columbia a voting system which will serve it well in the new century. However, we should not think that the task of reforming democracy can be achieved in one great effort, however lofty. It is an ongoing process.

Moreover, the very act of reforming the voting system may have unforseen consequences which will themselves have to be addressed.

In the past, anyone finding a deficiency in the voting system had no remedy but to go to the courts. This has led to such landmark decisions as Fisher, the Saskatchewan reference case and Figueroa. It opens up genuine constitutional issues when the courts and the legislatures are competing to set the voting rules.

This could best be addressed by setting up a separate body with a broad mandate to consult with citizens about what they want in a voting system. It could issue recommendations to the legislature on a range of issues. Should the voting age be lowered? Should membership in a political party be a right? Under what circumstances could that right be lost? What should be the requirements to appear on a ballot?

The Democracy Commission could set standards the democratic functioning of political parties (currently there are none). It could require all registered parties to have certain democratic provisions in their constitutions, and could also provide a place for party members to appeal if they believe those constitutions have been violated.

Although this may seem to be outside the Assembly's mandate, I argue that it is not, if the Assembly believes that this measure would be necessary to ensure the smooth functioning of the system whose adoption it recommends. Similarly, there may be other important measures which are consequential to the adoption of a new voting system; when list-PR was used in the U.K. (for the European Parliament elections of 1999) legislation had to be enacted recognizing political parties for the first time!

I therefore recommend:
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## 2. The values which should underpin voting reform

I have been an active member of the electoral reform community in Canada for the past eight years. During that time I have read and written extensively on voting systems, presenting models of possible systems for Vancouver, for British Columbia, and for Canada, always concentrating on the geographical, historical and cultural realities of the jurisdiction being considered. Until the present paper, I had not made up my mind about what system to recommend for British Columbia.

I now recommend:
2. British Columbia should use a modified form of Single Transferable Vote (STV).

It is accepted wisdom that the way to design a voting system is to agree first on what values and principles are appropriate to incorporate. Within the Canadian voting reform community, there is remarkable unanimity on what values are important for Canadian voters. In the constitution of Fair Vote Canada (to the council of which I have been elected twice), we express these values as follows:

1) broad proportionality,
2) extended voter choice,
3) stable and responsive government, and
4) maintaining a link between representatives and geographic constituencies.

This list has a distinguished pedigree. It is similar to the list of requirements issued by the British government to the Jenkins commission, and was first proposed in the Canadian context by Nick Loenen, then adopted, with minor modifications, by Fair Voting B.C. and by Fair Vote Canada. A similar, but slightly longer, list was used by the New Zealand Royal Commission and was this year adapted by the Law Commission of Canada.

I cannot emphasize enough that there is wide agreement about these values. Politicians and electoral reformers on both the left and the right, and from all political parties both big and small have accepted them with some enthusiasm; I have never once heard them seriously attacked. At the founding meeting of Fair Vote Canada, they were adopted by a roomful of grassroots members, with consensus being reached after one member had proposed the inclusion of the words "and responsive", having articulately questioned whether "stability" alone might not be too much of a good thing.

Where the voting reform community divides is on how these ideals are best met in practice. I actually believe there is a good reason underlying this division: it depends to some extent on where you live. In Ontario, which is thickly populated with the exception of ten northern ridings (and even in those, the population is largely concentrated in Sudbury, Thunder Bay, and a few smaller communities), there tends to be a greater tolerance for increasing the size of ridings. In British Columbia, where even ridings on our southern border would qualify as remote and sometimes inaccessible, there is a greater emphasis on geographic representation.

Then too, reformers closely associated with political parties tend to think that "proportionality" only means being proportional to the "party vote"; that is, that the purpose of an election is only for a voter to register a preference for one or another of a small group of political parties.

Independent reformers tend to place more emphasis on the importance of the individual candidates running, the men and women who will actually end up as MLAs, sit on legislative committees, and serve their constituents.

It is felt by many that Single Transferable Vote (STV) is the system which provides the most voter choice. The question has generally been whether it also provides enough geographic representation.

Many people have suggested that Mixed-Member systems (MMM, MMP) provide better geographic representation, because they offer a single member per district. I considered such systems. However, I have not heard anyone advocate MMP propose setting aside fewer than one-third of the seats as list seats. (Moreover, a smaller set-aside, such as one-sixth, would not have corrected the problems of the last two elections: in 1996, the NDP would still have won more seats than the Liberals, while in 2001 the Liberals would still have had a huge majority with 64 seats.) Reserving one-third of the seats as list seats would make each riding $50 \%$ larger (not "about one-third larger" as is sometimes erroneously claimed). It is my strong believe that, while this might be acceptable in Ontario, it would never be accepted in British Columbia.

This realisation brought the focus back on to STV. Could something be done to mitigate the way STV groups representatives in to multi-member ridings? Could STV be made to function more like a single- member system?

In his submission, "Preferential Plus", Nick Loenen has proposed one way to do this: by using single-member ridings in remote areas, and multi-member ridings in more densely settled areas. Loenen has identified with precision the problem posed by British Columbia's geography; however I believe his solution goes too far in the remote areas, and not far enough in the rest of the province.

In this submission, I argue for a more balanced approach.

## 3. STV-C, a new system meeting B.C.'s needs

I propose using two measures to enhance STV for the differing geographic realities around British Columbia. First, district magnitudes should be larger in urban areas:

> 3. In remote areas (Peace, Cariboo, Kootenays), the ideal district magnitude is 2 or 3 . In settled areas (Okanagan, Nanaimo), the ideal district magnitude is 4 or 5 . In urban areas (GVRD, Victoria), the ideal district magnitude is 5 to 7 .

In the accompanying maps and tables, I lay out a 21-district model for the province. These ideals have been met with a few minor exceptions. However, in the GVRD I propose a fairly natural districting which uses only the low end of the range: most districts elect five members. This is so that future growth may be accommodated by adding members rather than redistricting. I propose that districts be capped at seven members: if population growth would carry a district to eight, split it into two fours.

The second enhancement to STV is more radical. I suggest that each $n$-member district should be subdivided - for representational, but not electoral, purposes - into $n$ single-member areas which I call "circuits". Following the count, each elected member would be assigned
responsibility for one individual circuit. The details of how these assignments would be made remain to be specified. Most likely, the candidates could be ranked according to the order in which they were declared elected, or the number of first-preference vote they received. The highest-ranked candidate would be given first choice of circuit. One would hope that in many cases there would be relatively few conflicts as the STV system should broadly work to ensure that the elected members are dispersed across the multi-member district.
4. "Circuits" should be used so that each community is represented by an individual MLA.

It is important to realize that the circuits have no meaning on voting day and that ballots are the same across an electoral district. However, circuits would apply in the following ways:

- A voter who had no particular party allegiance would likely turn to her "circuit MLA" rather than one representing another circuit within her electoral district.
- The "circuit MLA" would us ually be the one to make appearances at nonpartisan public events within his or her circuit
- Members would be recognized in the assembly by the name of their circuit; for instance "the member for Vernon", not "the third member for North Okanagan-Columbia"

Some parties might wish to use the circuits to organize their nomination contests; thus in a threemember district each circuit would separately nominate one of the party's three candidates; this would further help ensure that the elected members were well distributed across the circuits in a district.

One could imagine that sometimes the division of the circuits among the elected members might be quite amicable. Indeed, two (or more) members might agree to take on a pair of circuits initially, and then switch at mid-term; this would help candidates who planned to run for reelection to become better known to more of their electors.
"Circuit STV" (STV-C) is a better bridge between STV and single-member constituencies than is Nick Loenen's "Preferential Plus". It provides the benefits of a local member to all British Columbians, not just the few living in remote communities. It also provides proportionality and minority representation to all voters, including those in the north, which "Preferential Plus" does not. Looked at another way, STV-C is a more moderate approach which has only a small quantitative difference in the treatment of urban and rural areas, whereas "Preferential Plus" makes a qualitative distinction.

## 4. Drawing the map

I have already recommended that a larger district magnitude should be used in urban areas. This shifts the balance subtly toward proportionality in urban B.C., but toward distinct geographic representation in rural B.C.

I also believe that
5. The districts should be based strongly on existing Regional Districts and municipalities.

In my proposals, no electoral district crosses a Regional District boundary. Outside the GVRD, every electoral district consists of one or more entire Regional Districts. The GVRD has been
split into eight electoral districts, and here I have almost entirely respected existing municipal boundaries.

Basing the electoral districts on existing political boundaries has several advantages:

- it harmonizes jurisdiction between two levels of government, making it easier for Regional Districts to communicate with their representatives in Victoria;
- it makes it easier for local media, who already use Regional Districts as catchment areas, to provide links between candidates and voters;
- it helps make regional government a natural stepping-stone to higher office; and
- it encourages future boundaries commissions to respect the existing district boundaries, simply adding new members to a district where necessary, thus further enhancing voter identification with their districts.


## 5. An optional feature

In this section I make a recommendation which could be adopted independently of the choice of voting system, providing ranked ballots are used:
6. Parties should not be able to limit the number of candidates seeking election under their banner in a given district.

Because under STV votes are perfectly transferable, it does not harm a party's chance of election if ten people rather than three are standing in a certain five-member district. The party vote will eventually coalesce on the most popular of the candidates. If a party is allowed to limit the number of candidates running, this will become a mechanism for the leadership to ensure that only orthodox loyalists approved by the leader are nominated. Why not allow any other candidate to demonstrate that she has support from within her party to run? Let her have access to the ballot if she collects, say, 50 signatures from party members anywhere in B.C., and 50 more from registered electors within her district.

## 6. A defence of STV

In this section, I address two criticisms of STV which I have heard at some of the earlier public hearings.

First, MMP is described as 'more proportional'. MMP is, indeed, slavishly proportional to the expressed first-party-preferences of voters. But to what extent do these preferences represent the full richness of the voter's beliefs? Perhaps as a voter my real first preference is for Rick Mercer or Louis Riel. Finding neither of them on my ballot, I force myself to pick one of the parties which actually is there. How much does that really say about me? Does it say enough that that party should then take my vote as their property, to assign at their will to the election of their candidates?

STV is a completely proportional system in that it allows identical fractions of the electorate to stand behind the candidates of their choice: actual people whose names were on their ballots and whom they personally approved. The only deviation from proportionality lies in the group of voters whose ballots elected nobody: at most $1 /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ of the voters in a district, but in practical terms often less. It also allows a voter for a small party, like Marijuana and Unity in the last
election, which did not meet the MMP threshold, to nevertheless use her lower-order preferences meaningfully to select among the remaining candidates.

Second, I have heard that, while STV may be in principle a superior system, that MMP is less 'disruptive' because it is a smaller change to our established system. Again I disagree. Doubling the size of a riding (as is required if half the seats come off lists) or even increasing it by $50 \%$ (if one-third come from lists) would be seen as extremely disruptive in rural areas. By contrast my circuit-STV proposal leaves the same number of MLA representing similar-sized geographic areas in similar places -- they are simply circuits rather than electoral districts.

## 7. Dividing B.C. into electoral districts

All of B.C. outside the Greater Vancouver Regional District has been divided into 13 electoral districts, each one consisting of one or more complete regional districts. In more populated areas of the province, the goal is to construct a district which will elect 4 or 5 MLAs using STV. In more remote areas (north of Kamloops, the Kootenays) the districts will elect only 2 or 3 MLAs.

Because the provincial quota (obtained by dividing the 2001 census population by the number of districts, 79) is just under 50,000 , in southern B.C. the ideal population of a district is about $5 \times 50,000=250,000$. In northern B.C., the ideal population is about $3 \times(.75) \times 50,000=112,500$, since the Fisher decision on provincial boundaries allows a deviation of $-25 \%$ from the provincial quota, without invoking special circumstances.

A possible division of the province into 21 electoral districts is given in a series of three maps, and in table 1.



The residents of these 13 districts (which elect a total of 42 MLAs) are distributed as follows:

| 42 MLAs outside the GVRD |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| district <br> magnitude | number of <br> such districts | MLAs representing <br> such districts | British Columbians <br> living in such districts |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | 204,834 |
| 3 | 6 | 18 | 729,247 |
| 4 | 3 | 12 | 660,938 |
| 5 | 0 |  |  |
| 6 | 1 | 6 | 325,754 |

The GVRD has been divided into 8 electoral districts, for the most part by putting together municipalities. (The populations of municipalities in this table include any adjacent reserves.) Vancouver has been divided into two halves following the official neighbourhoods. Surrey has also been split following its official neighbourhood plan; the urban neighbourhoods within the GVRD's growth concentration area form the electoral district of Surrey Centre, while the suburban neighbourhoods of Cloverdale, South Surrey and the city of White Rock have been grouped with Langley.

The great majority of GVRD residents live in 5-member ridings:

| $\mathbf{3 7}$ MLAs for the GVRD |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| district <br> magnitude | number of <br> such districts | MLAs representing <br> such districts | British Columbians <br> living in such districts |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 176,196 |
| 4 | 1 | 4 | 214,427 |
| 5 | 6 | 30 | $1,588,193$ |



In total, nearly two-thirds of British Columbians live in either a 4-member or a 5-member district:

| all 79 MLAs |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| district <br> magnitude | number of <br> such districts | MLAs representing <br> such districts | British Columbians <br> living in such districts | as a <br> percentage |  |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | 204,834 | $5 \%$ |  |
| 3 | 7 | 21 | 905,443 | $23 \%$ |  |
| 4 | 4 | 16 | 875,365 | $22 \%$ |  |
| 5 | 6 | 30 | $1,588,193$ | $41 \%$ |  |
| 6 | 1 | 6 | 325,754 | $8 \%$ |  |

I think this is a good electoral map. Because it is based on existing political structures, it is free from charges of gerrymandering. I believe that each of the various districts builds upon an existing sense of community. People in Cloverdale can relate to the same issues as people in Langley; Maple Ridge and Pitt Meadows feel close to Coquitlam; the three Kootenays face similar challenges, quite different to those in the Okanagan. With the addition of members to reflect future population growth, this map could stand for some time.

I recommend:
7. The 2009 election should be held with the 21-district map presented in section 7 .

| Skeena--Nechako |  | 104,741 | 3 | 34,914 | -29\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47000 Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District | 21,693 |  |  |  |  |
| 49000 Kitimat-Stikine Regional District | 40,876 |  |  |  |  |
| 51000 Bulkley -Nechako Regional District | 40,856 |  |  |  |  |
| 57000 Stikine Region | 1,316 |  |  |  |  |
| Peace River --Northern Rockies |  | 60,800 | 2 | 30,400 | -39\% |
| 55000 Peace River Regional District | 55,080 |  |  |  |  |
| 59000 Northern Rockies Regional District | 5,720 |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Fraser --Prince George |  | 95,317 | 3 | 31,772 | -36\% |
| 53000 Fraser-Fort George Regional District | 95,317 |  |  |  |  |
| Cariboo |  | 65,659 | 2 | 32,830 | -34\% |
| 41000 Cariboo Regional District | 65,659 |  |  |  |  |
| Thompson--Nicola |  | 119,222 | 3 | 39,741 | -20\% |
| 33000 Thompson-Nicola Regional District | 119,222 |  |  |  |  |
| North Okanagan --Columbia |  | 121,446 | 3 | 40,482 | -18\% |
| 37000 North Okanagan Regional District | 73,227 |  |  |  |  |
| 39000 Columbia-Shuswap Regional District | 48,219 |  |  |  |  |
| Okanagan --Similkamaeen |  | 224,374 | 4 | 56,094 | +13\% |
| 7000 Okanagan-Similkameen Regional District | 76,635 |  |  |  |  |
| 35000 Central Okanagan Regional District | 147,739 |  |  |  |  |
| Kootenays |  | 145,153 | 3 | 48,384 | -2\% |
| 1000 East Kootenay Regional District | 56,291 |  |  |  |  |
| 3000 Central Kootenay Regional District | 57,019 |  |  |  |  |
| 5000 Kootenay Boundary Regional District | 31,843 |  |  |  |  |
| Powell River--Lillooet |  | 78,375 | 2 | 39,188 | -21\% |
| 27000 Powell River Regional District | 19,765 |  |  |  |  |
| 29000 Sunshine Coast Regional District | 25,599 |  |  |  |  |
| 31000 Squamish-Lillooet Regional District | 33,011 |  |  |  |  |
| North Island--Central Coast |  | 143,368 | 3 | 47,789 | -3\% |
| 23000 Alberni-Clayoquot Regional District | 30,345 |  |  |  |  |
| 25000 Comox-Strathcona Regional District | 96,131 |  |  |  |  |
| 43000 Mount Waddington Regional District | 13,111 |  |  |  |  |
| 45000 Central Coast Regional District | 3,781 |  |  |  |  |
| Cowichan --Nanaimo |  | 199,014 | 4 | 49,754 | +1\% |
| 19000 Cowichan Valley Regional District | 71,998 |  |  |  |  |
| 21000 Nanaimo Regional District | 127,016 |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Region |  | 325,754 | 6 | 54,292 | +10\% |
| 17000 Capital Regional District | 325,754 |  |  |  |  |
| GVRD (multiple districts) |  | 1,986,965 | 37 | 53,702 | +9\% |
| 15000 Greater Vancouver Regional District | 1,986,965 |  |  |  |  |
| Fraser Valley |  | 237,550 | 4 | 59,388 | +20\% |
| 9000 Fraser Valley Regional District | 237,550 |  |  |  |  |

3,907,738 $79 \quad 49,46$

| division of the GVRD into electoral districts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Shore |  | 176,196 | 3 | 58,732 | 19\% |
| North Vancouver District | 83,567 |  |  |  |  |
| North Vancouver City | 44,642 |  |  |  |  |
| West Vancouver | 43,651 |  |  |  |  |
| Bowen Island | 2,957 |  |  |  |  |
| Lions Bay | 1,379 |  |  |  |  |
| Vancouver Westside |  | 277,962 | 5 | 55,592 | 12\% |
| Vancouver (part) | 277,962 |  |  |  |  |
| Greater Vancouver A | 8,034 |  |  |  |  |
| Vancouver Eastside |  | 268,924 | 5 | 53,785 | 9\% |
| Vancouver (part) | 268,924 |  |  |  |  |
| Fraser Delta |  | 261,774 | 5 | 52,355 | 6\% |
| Delta | 97,429 |  |  |  |  |
| Richmond | 164,345 |  |  |  |  |
| Burnaby--New Westminster |  | 248,610 | 5 | 49,722 | 1\% |
| Burnaby | 193,954 |  |  |  |  |
| New Westminster | 54,656 |  |  |  |  |
| Tri-Cities |  | 268,077 | 5 | 53,615 | 8\% |
| Coquitlam | 112,890 |  |  |  |  |
| Belcarra | 682 |  |  |  |  |
| Anmore | 1,344 |  |  |  |  |
| Port Coquitlam | 51,277 |  |  |  |  |
| Port Moody | 23,816 |  |  |  |  |
| Pitt Meadows | 14,894 |  |  |  |  |
| Maple Ridge | 63,174 |  |  |  |  |
| Surrey Centre |  | 262,846 | 5 | 52,569 | 6\% |
| Whalley | 78,500 |  |  |  |  |
| Guildford | 49,300 |  |  |  |  |
| Fleetwood | 43,300 |  |  |  |  |
| Newton | 91,700 |  |  |  |  |
| Barnston Island 3 | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Semiahmoo-Langley |  | 214,427 | 4 | 53,607 | 8\% |
| Cloverdale | 30,900 |  |  |  |  |
| South Surrey | 54,100 |  |  |  |  |
| Langley District | 87,403 |  |  |  |  |
| Langley City | 23,643 |  |  |  |  |
| White Rock | 18,250 |  |  |  |  |
| Semiahmoo | 131 |  |  |  |  |

source for Surrey neighbourhoods:
http://www.city.surrey.bc.ca/Doing+Business
Population+and+Demographics/Population+Esitmates+and+Projections.htm

## 8. Dividing the districts into circuits

Because the circuits would have no electoral meaning whatsoever -- the ballot would be the same across all the circuits in a district -- there would be no particular need to balance them in size. This is an extra benefit of the circuit system; we should put it to use. In my proposal I have suggested a set of circuits designed to give voters in the most remote areas reasonable access to their MLAs.

Table 2 shows the 21 districts divided into 79 circuits, with between 2 and 6 circuits in each district. Population figures are from the 2001 census. In this table, I have used the electoral areas to indicate a division of the province into regions, even though many residents do not live in electoral areas but in incorporated communities or on First Nations reserves. Each electoral area in this table should therefore be understood as also including those communities which have been sectioned out of it. Thus, for instance, "Okanagan-Similkameen G" includes the electoral area of Okanagan-Similkameen G, but also the village of Keremeos, and the Chuchuwayha 2, Alexis 9 and Ashnola 10 reserves.

For greater clarity, where regional districts are divided, I indicate where larger communities have been counted. The division into circuits wherever possible respects regionaldistrict, electoralarea, municipal and neighbourhood boundaries.

Because there are so many ways to draw circuits, I stop short of recommending this particular set.

Table 2: Division of the 21 Districts into 79 Circuits

| Skeena-Nechako |  | 104,741 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skeena-Haida Gwaii |  | 21,693 |
| Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District | 21,693 |  |
| Kitimat--Stikine |  | 42,192 |
| Kitimat-Stikine Regional District | 40,876 |  |
| Stikine Region | 1,316 |  |
| Bulkley-Nechako |  | 40,856 |
| Bulkley-Nechako Regional District | 40,856 |  |
| Peace River--Northern Rockies |  | 60,800 |
| Peace River South |  | 26,449 |
| Peace River D (inc. Dawson Creek) | 17,444 |  |
| Peace River E (inc. Chetwynd) | 9,005 |  |
| Alaska Highway |  | 34,351 |
| Peace River B | 5,624 |  |
| Peace River C (inc. Fort St. John) | 23,007 |  |
| Northern Rockies Regional District | 5,720 |  |
| Upper Fraser--Prince George |  | 95,317 |
| Prince George South |  | 45,611 |
| Prince George tracts 1-9, 15-17 | 37,342 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George C | 3,178 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George D | 4,527 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George E | 564 |  |
| Prince George North |  | 38,576 |
| Prince George tracts 10-14, 18-23 | 35,064 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George A | 3,512 |  |
| Mackenzie--Robson Valley |  | 11,130 |
| Fraser-Fort George F | 1,412 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George G (inc. Mackenzie) | 5,755 |  |
| Fraser-Fort George H | 3,963 |  |


| Cariboo |  | 65,659 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quesnel |  | 24,426 |
| Cariboo A (inc. Quesnel) | 16,561 |  |
| Cariboo B | 4,338 |  |
| Cariboo C | 1,558 |  |
| Cariboo I | 1,969 |  |
| Cariboo South |  | 41,233 |
| Cariboo D | 3,469 |  |
| Cariboo E (inc. Williams Lake) | 16,680 |  |
| Cariboo F | 4,963 |  |
| Cariboo G | 6,740 |  |
| Cariboo H | 2,091 |  |
| Cariboo L | 4,244 |  |
| Cariboo J | 1,628 |  |
| Cariboo K | 1,418 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola |  | 119,222 |
| Nicola |  | 22,705 |
| Thompson-Nicola M | 9,684 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola N | 1,142 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola E | 2,056 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola I (Ashc., Cache C. Logan L.) | 8,073 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola J | 1,750 |  |
| Kamloops |  | 80,040 |
| Kamloops tracts 1-20 | 74,613 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola L (inc. Chase) | 5,427 |  |
| Thompson |  | 16,477 |
| Thompson-Nicola A | 4,399 |  |
| Thompsor-Nicola B | 368 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola O | 3,526 |  |
| Thompson-Nicola P (inc. Kamloops 1, R) | 4,106 |  |
| Kamloops tracts 21, 22 | 4,078 |  |

Table 2, continued

| North Okanagan-Columbia |  | 121,446 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vernon |  | 56,926 |
| North Okanagan B (inc. Vernon) | 38,797 |  |
| North Okanagan C | 3,627 |  |
| North Okanagan D (inc. Coldstream) | 13,564 |  |
| North Okanagan E | 938 |  |
| Shuswap |  | 42,618 |
| North Okanagan F (Spa'ch'n, Armst., Enderby) | 16,301 |  |
| ColumbiaShuswap C | 6,896 |  |
| ColumbiaShuswap D (inc. Salmon Arm) | 19,421 |  |
| Columbia |  | 21,902 |
| Columbia Shuswap A (inc. Golden) | 7,155 |  |
| Columbia Shuswap B (inc. Revelstoke) | 8,125 |  |
| Columbia Shuswap E (inc. Sicamous) | 4,211 |  |
| ColumbiaShuswap F | 2,411 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkamaeen |  | 224,374 |
| Kelowna-Mission |  | 52,491 |
| Kelowna tracts 1-3, 8-15 | 52,491 |  |
| Kelowna-Lake Country |  | 58,715 |
| Kelowna tracts 4-7, 16-19, 105 | 58,715 |  |
| Westbank |  | 49,235 |
| Central Okanagan G (inc. Peachland) | 20,598 |  |
| Central Okanagan H | 15,935 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen F (inc. Summerland) | 12,702 |  |
| Penticton--Similkameen |  | 57,174 |
| Okanagan-Similkameen A (inc. Osoyoos) | 6,759 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen B | 1,241 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen C (inc. Oli ver) | 8,378 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen D (inc. Penticton) | 37,589 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen E | 1,996 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen G (inc. Keremeos) | 3,391 |  |
| Okanagan-Similkameen H (inc. Princeton) | 4,579 |  |
| Kootenays |  | 145,153 |
| East Kootenay |  | 56,291 |
| East Kootenay Regional District | 56,291 |  |
| Central Kootenay |  | 57,019 |
| Central Kootenay Regional District | 57,019 |  |
| Kootenay Boundary |  | 31,843 |
| Kootenay Boundary Regional District | 31,843 |  |
| Powell River--Lillooet |  | 78,375 |
| Powell River--Sunshine Coast |  | 45,364 |
| Powell River Regional District | 19,765 |  |
| Sunshine Coast Regional District | 25,599 |  |
| Squamish --Lillooet |  | 33,011 |
| Squamish-Lillooet Regional District | 33,011 |  |
| North Island-Central Coast |  | 143,368 |
| Alberni |  | 30,345 |
| Alberni-Clayoquot Regional District | 30,345 |  |
| Campbell River--Comox |  | 91,238 |
| ComoxStrathcona A (inc. Cumberland) | 7,398 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona B (inc. Comox, Courtenay) | 37,671 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona C | 7,584 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona D (inc. Campbell River) | 33,872 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona G (inc. Gold River) | 2,731 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona K | 1,982 |  |
| Central Coast |  | 21,785 |
| ComoxStrathcona H | 1,164 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona I | 1,000 |  |
| ComoxStrathcona J | 2,729 |  |
| Mount Waddington Regional District | 13,111 |  |
| Central Coast Regional District | 3,781 |  |


| Cowichan--Nanaimo |  | 199,014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ladysmith--North Cowichan |  | 53,532 |
| Cowichan Valley D (inc. North Cowichan) | 29,064 |  |
| Cowichan Valley G (inc. Ladysmith) | 9,247 |  |
| Cowichan Valley H | 2,865 |  |
| Cowichan Valley F (inc. Lake Cowichan) | 4,784 |  |
| Cowichan Valley I | 1,149 |  |
| Nanaimo A | 6,423 |  |
| Cowichan Valley |  | 24,889 |
| Cowichan Valley A | 3,514 |  |
| Cowichan Valley B | 7,081 |  |
| Cowichan Valley C | 4,545 |  |
| Cowichan Valley E (inc. Duncan; Cowichan 1) | 9,749 |  |
| Nanaimo |  | 82,763 |
| Nanaimo B | 3,522 |  |
| Nanaimo C | 929 |  |
| Nanaimo D (inc. Nanaimo) | 78,312 |  |
| Parksville-Qualicum |  | 37,830 |
| Nanaimo E | 4,820 |  |
| Nanaimo F | 5,546 |  |
| Nanaimo G (inc. Parksville, Qualicum Beach) | 24,285 |  |
| Nanaimo H | 3,179 |  |
| Capital Region |  | 325,754 |
| North Saanich--Gulf Islands |  | 35,306 |
| North Saanich | 10,793 |  |
| Sidney | 10,929 |  |
| Capital F | 9,279 |  |
| Capital G | 4,307 |  |
| Saanich Peninsula |  | 64,351 |
| Central Saanich | 17,363 |  |
| Saanich tracts 126-129, 132, 133 | 46,988 |  |
| Saanich--Oak Bay |  | 74,464 |
| Saanich tracts 120-125, 130, 131 | 56,666 |  |
| Oak Bay | 17,798 |  |
| Victoria |  | 74,125 |
| Victoria | 74,125 |  |
| Esquimalt--Metchosin |  | 44,033 |
| Esquimalt | 18,001 |  |
| View Royal | 7,271 |  |
| Colwood | 13,745 |  |
| Metchosin | 5,016 |  |
| Langford--Sooke |  | 33,473 |
| Langford | 18,840 |  |
| Highlands | 1,674 |  |
| Sooke | 8,892 |  |
| Capital H (Part 1) | 3,801 |  |
| Capital H (Part 2) | 266 |  |
| GVRD (see below) |  | 1,986,965 |
| Greater Vancouver Regional District | 1,986,965 |  |
| Fraser Valley |  | 237,550 |
| Hope-Kent |  | 16,839 |
| Fraser Valley A | 763 |  |
| Fraser Valley B (inc. Hope) | 7,504 |  |
| Fraser Valley C (inc. Kent, Harrison) | 8,572 |  |
| Chilliwack |  | 66,376 |
| Fraser Valley D (inc. Chilliwack) | 66,376 |  |
| Mission |  | 34,83才 |
| Fraser Valley F (inc. Mission) | 32,509 |  |
| Fraser Valley G | 2,328 |  |
| Abbotsford |  | 119,49¢ |
| Fraser Valley E | 3,400 |  |
| Fraser Valley H (inc. Abbotsford) | 116,098 |  |

Table 2, continued

| division of the GVRD into electoral disricts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Shore |  | 176,196 | Burnaby-New Westminster |  | 248,610 |
| North Vancouver District North Vancouver District | 83,567 | 83,567 | Burnaby Heights <br> Burnaby tracts 238-243.1 | 47,049 | 47,049 |
| North Vancouver City |  | 44,642 | Burnaby Mountain |  | 47,377 |
| North Vancouver City | 44,642 |  | Burnaby tracts 232-237, 243.2 | 47,377 |  |
| West Vancouver |  | 47,987 | Burnaby Edmonds |  | 46,099 |
| West Vancouver | 43,651 |  | Burnaby tracts 220-224, 227 | 46,099 |  |
| Bowen Island | 2,957 |  | Burnaby Willingdon |  | 53,429 |
| Lions Bay | 1,379 |  | Burnaby tracts 225, 226, 228-231 | 53,429 |  |
| Vancouver Westside |  | 292,267 | New Westminster |  | 54,656 |
| Burrard |  | 70,091 | New Westminster | 54,656 |  |
| Downtown | 27,937 |  | Tri-Cities |  | 268,077 |
| West End | 42,154 |  | Coquitlam Centre |  | 51,118 |
| Kitsilano |  | 67,789 | Coquitlam tracts 286.2, 287 | 51,118 |  |
| Fairview | 28,403 |  | Coquitlam Maillardville |  | 61,772 |
| Kitsilano | 39,386 |  | Coquitlam tracts 280-285, 286.1,3 | 61,772 |  |
| Point Grey |  | 56,286 | Pitt River |  | 66,171 |
| West Point Grey | 12,911 |  | Port Coquitlam | 51,277 |  |
| Dunbar-Southlands | 21,308 |  | Pitt Meadows | 14,894 |  |
| UEL | 8,034 |  | loco |  | 25,842 |
| Kerrisdale | 14,033 |  | Port Moody | 23,816 |  |
| Arbutus-Cambie |  | 30,523 | Belcarra | 682 |  |
| Arbutus Ridge | 14,515 |  | Anmore | 1,344 |  |
| Shaughnessy | 9,012 |  | Maple Ridge |  | 63,174 |
| South Cambie | 6,996 |  | Maple Ridge | 63,174 |  |
| Vancouver South |  | 67,578 | Surrey Centre |  | 262,846 |
| Marpole | 22,416 |  | Whalley |  | 78,500 |
| Oakridge | 11,739 |  | Whalley | 78,500 |  |
| Sunset | 33,423 |  | Guildford |  | 49,346 |
| Vancouver Eastside |  | 262,653 | Guildford | 49,300 |  |
| Downtown East |  | 36,076 | Barnston Island 3 | 46 |  |
| Mount-Pleasant | 24,539 |  | Fleetwood |  | 43,300 |
| Strathcona | 11,537 |  | Fleetwood | 43,300 |  |
| Hastings |  | 62,140 | Newton |  | 38,411 |
| Grandview-Woodlands | 29,085 |  | Newton less Panorama Ridge | 38,411 |  |
| Hastings-Sunrise | 33,055 |  | Panorama Ridge |  | 53,289 |
| Kensington |  | 66,554 | existing electoral district | 53,289 |  |
| Riley Park | 21,998 |  | Semiahmoo--Langley |  | 214,427 |
| Kensington-Cedar Cottage | 44,556 |  | Cloverdale |  | 30,900 |
| Renfrew-Collingwood |  | 44,946 | Cloverdale | 30,900 |  |
| Renfrew-Collingwood | 44,946 |  | Fort Langley |  | 64,770 |
| Fraserview |  | 52,937 | Langley District tracts 501, 504-506 | 64,770 |  |
| Killarney | 25,785 |  | Langley City |  | 46,276 |
| Victoria Fraserview | 27,152 |  | Langley District tract 502 | 22,633 |  |
| Fraser Delta |  | 261,774 | Langley City | 23,643 |  |
| Richmond Centre <br> Richmond 142.3, 143.2, 145, 147.6, 148, 149 | 54,008 | 54,008 | South Surrey-White Rock South Surrey | 54,100 | 72,481 |
| Steveston |  | 55,863 | White Rock | 18,250 |  |
| Richmond 140.2, 141, 142, 143.1,3,4, 144 | 55,863 |  | Semiahmoo | 131 |  |
| Richmond East |  | 54,474 |  |  |  |
| Richmond 140.3,4, 146, 147.1,2,3,4,5, 150, 151 | 54,474 |  |  |  |  |
| Ladner--Tsawassen |  | 45,321 |  |  |  |
| Delta tracts 160, 161 | 45,321 |  |  |  |  |
| Delta North |  | 52,108 |  |  |  |
| Delta tracts 162, 163 | 52,108 |  |  |  |  |

The names of the 21 districts in this table would be used in campaigning and elections; a candidate would seek election as one of the candidates for Kootenays, for example.

The names of the 79 circuits would be used for representation; a member might sit in the legislature as "the member for Vernon".

## 9. Population projections

In this section we consider the stability of the STV-C proposal as British Columbia's population continues to grow. Supposing that the system to be put in place after May 2005 will use the 2001 census data to determine the number of MLAs per region, the boundaries will be eight years out of date by the time they are used in 2009.

One of the potential advantages of an STV system is that one can leave the boundaries unchanged for long periods of time. Systems based on single-member ridings (FPTP, AV, MMP) require radical surgery every time the boundaries are redrawn, in order to balance the population in each riding. With STV, it might be possible to keep the districts intact, and simply add members where necessary. Thus a five-member district might become a six-member district, and later a seven-member district. Once a district reaches eight members, it could be cut in two.

This long-term stability could further enhance the bond between electors and their representatives. Under our current system, voters often forget the name and boundaries of their electoral district. If the district boundaries stayed the same through many revisions, a voter might come to know that she as always lived in, for instance, North Island-Central Coast.

In table 3, we look at the population of each district in three years: the census year 2001, the election year 2009, and the census year 2011, using the population projections compiled by B.C. stats. This shows that by 2009 each northern district would be about $3 \%$ further below quota than it was in 2001. In the other direction, the GVRD, would have been $9 \%$ underrepresented in 2001, is now $11 \%$ underrepresented, and the FVRD is up to $+25 \%$. This is, just barely, within the 25\% deviation permitted by Fisher.

If the 2011 reveals populations similar to those estimated by B.C. stats, the continuing population growth in the Lower Mainland will require the addition of seats to the legislature. A fifth seat in the FVRD will bring it back down to $+6 \%$, while three seats will suffice to bring the GVRD to $+8 \%$, similar to the $+9 \%$ that we had assumed would be acceptable when we looked at the 2001 data.

To determine which specific districts within the GVRD would likely gain an additional member, we again looked at the population growth estimates. Unfortunately, these are only available for local health areas. This required us to divide Vancouver in a slightly different way, putting three local health areas on each side. It also required some guesswork in Surrey, since the local health area boundary does not match our proposed district boundary. We made the assumption that Cloverdale would continue to represent about $10.5 \%$ of the Surrey local health area, as it does at present. Using these forecasts, we see that the three highest-population districts within the GVRD will be Vancouver Westside, Tri-Cities, and Surrey Centre, and we have awarded each a sixth member. This makes all the deviations from quota in the new 83-member legislature quite reasonable. The next districts in line to gain an additional member are Semiahmoo-Langley and Okanagan-Similkameen.

Of course, changing the number of members in a district requires realigning the circuits within that district. If one is committed to the idea that circuits may vary considerably in population, one could simply take the highest-population circuit and cut it in half. Otherwise, more radical redrawing may be necessary.



## 10. The Northern MLAs

Nick Loenen has suggested a measure in which one counts the theoretical maximum possible number of "wasted votes", by which he means ballots which do not contribute to the election of any member. In an n-member district of population P, the Hare quota is $\mathrm{P} /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ and the count terminates with n members elected, accounting for a total of $\mathrm{nP} /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ ballots. The remaining $\mathrm{P} /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ ballots are either the surplus for the last-elected candidates, or are in other piles, although many of them may have previously been in the piles of elected candidates, and been transferred as part of various surpluses.

In a single-member district using $\mathrm{AV}, \mathrm{P} /(\mathrm{n}+1)=\mathrm{P} / 2$ is again the maximum number of votes which might remain with defeated candidates after the winner is declared elected.

Loenen therefore counts $\mathrm{P} /(\mathrm{n}+1)$ ballots, and sums this number over all electoral districts. Dividing this total by the provincial population produces a fraction (percentage) which we can call the Loenen measure. Carrying out this calculation for the proposed STV-C model gives a the number $20 \%$ (meaning that the average district size for the model is, in this sense, 4). This would rise to $21.8 \%$ if the 10 northern seats were reorganized into single-member districts. Although this is not a great difference provincewide, it does represent an increase from $28 \%$ to $50 \%$ in the northern seats, another way of saying that AV would not begin to provide proportionality for northern residents.

On the other hand, proportionality could be increased (and "wasted votes" decreased) in the north if residents there were willing to use 5 -member districts, as in southern B.C. This could neatly be achieved by combining Skeena-Nechako and Cariboo into one 5member district, and combining Upper Fraser-Prince George and Peace RiverNorthern Rockies into another. This would reduce the Loenen measure for the province to $19.0 \%$ and for the north to one-sixth, $16.7 \%$.

Where would northern residents set the balance between greater proportionality or smaller district magnitude? It is too early to know this, and quite likely opinions would not be formed until British Columbians had had an opportunity to observe STV through one or two elections. It would therefore seem prudent to begin with the smaller northern districts, and then to examine after two elections whether there was support for combining them.

We should also consider whether to create a single 5-member district at the other location in the province where a 2-member district, Powell River-Lillooet, adjoins a 3-member district, North Shore. This would create an extremely natural 5-member district; however, it would be an exception to the rule about not crossing regional district boundaries. It would also immediately solve the problem that these two districts have the most anomalous populatins in the province, at $-21 \%$ below quota and $+19 \%$ above quota respectively. The combined district would have a population of $254,571 / 5=50,914$ per member, about $+3 \%$ above quota.

I therefore recommend:
8. After the 2009 election (using STV-C) the B.C. Democracy Commission should consult with voters in Powell River-Lillooet and North Shore, and issue a decision about whether they should be combined into a single 5-member electoral district.

After the 2013 election, the B.C. Democracy Commission should consult with northern voters and decide whether to combine the districts of Skeena-Nechako and Cariboo, and whether to combine Upper Fraser-Prince George and Peace River-Northern Rockies.

## 11. Aboriginal Electoral Districts

Separately from my STV-C proposal, I recommend that:
9. The Assembly should create Aboriginal Electoral Districts.

Aboriginal Electoral Districts are used in New Zealand and the U.S. state of Maine, and were recommended by the Lortie Commission in 1991, which recommended one aboriginal MP for each quotient equal to 85 percent of the provincial quotient. This recommendation was repeated by the Law Commission of Canada in 2004., who wrote:
[Recommendation 12] The federal government, in consultation with First Nations, Metis and Inuit peoples, should explore the possibility of introducing Aboriginal Electoral Districts as recommended by the Royal Commission on Electoral Reform and Party Financing, or a "House of Aboriginal Peoples", consistent with the recommendations of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples.

The New Zealand model is to allow aboriginal voters to opt whether they wish to vote on the general rolls or in separate Maori rolls. As the 2001 census identifies an "Aboriginal identity" population of about 170,000 , and 85 percent of the provincial quota is about 42,000, there should be three Aboriginal MLAs if approximately $75 \%$ of First Nations voters opt to enroll on the aboriginal lists.

STV-C would be an excellent system to use in conjunction with Aboriginal Electoral Districts, as the three Aboriginal MLAs could simply be elected in one province-wide district, with three circuits. This would be consistent with the multi-member districts being used for the non-aboriginal electorate, which would reduce confusion about voting methods, and also make it obvious that the principle of equality of the vote was observed.

A possible set of three circuits is shown in table 4, namely Northern First Nations (Aboriginal identity population 50,185), Southern First Nations(50,735) and Urban First Nations $(69,105)$.

|  |  | 2001 census data |  |  |  |  | 2001 aboriginal population |  |  | 2001 non-aboriginal population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skeena-Nechako |  |  | 104,741 | 3 | 34,914 | -29\% |  | 25,225 | +24\% |  | 79,516 | 3 | 26,505 | -44\% | 50,185 |
|  | 47000 Skeena-Queen Charlotte RD | 21,693 |  |  |  |  | 7,705 |  |  | 13,988 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49000 Kitimat-Stikine RD | 40,876 |  |  |  |  | 10,965 |  |  | 29,911 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51000 Bulkley-Nechako RD | 40,856 |  |  |  |  | 6,020 |  |  | 34,836 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57000 Stikine Region | 1,316 |  |  |  |  | 535 |  |  | 781 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peace River--Northern Rockies |  |  | 60,800 | 2 | 30,400 | -39\% |  | 8,225 | +14\% |  | 52,575 | 2 | 26,288 | -44\% |  |
|  | 55000 Peace River RD | 55,080 |  |  |  |  | 7,175 |  |  | 47,905 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 59000 Northern Rockies RD | 5,720 |  |  |  |  | 1,050 |  |  | 4,670 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Fraser--Prince George |  |  | 95,317 | 3 | 31,772 | -36\% |  | 8,870 | +9\% |  | 86,447 | 3 | 28,81€ | -39\% |  |
|  | 53000 Fraser-Fort George RD | 95,317 |  |  |  |  | 8,870 |  |  | 86,447 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cariboo |  |  | 65,659 | 2 | 32,830 | -34\% |  | 7,865 | +12\% |  | 57,794 | 2 | 28,897 | -39\% |  |
|  | 41000 Cariboo RD | 65,659 |  |  |  |  | 7,865 |  |  | 57,794 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thompson--Nicola |  |  | 119,22: | 3 | 39,741 | -20\% |  | 11,590 | +10\% |  | 107,632 | 3 | 35,877 | -24\% |  |
|  | 33000 Thompson-Nicola RD | 119,222 |  |  |  |  | 11,590 |  |  | 107,632 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Okanagan --Columbia |  |  | 121,446 | 3 | 40,482 | -18\% |  | 5,665 | +5\% |  | 115,781 | 3 | 38,594 | -18\% |  |
|  | 37000 North Okanagan RD | 73,227 |  |  |  |  | 3,505 |  |  | 69,722 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39000 Columbiashuswap RD | 48,219 |  |  |  |  | 2,160 |  |  | 46,059 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okanagan--Similkamaeen |  |  | 224,374 | 4 | 56,094 | +13\% |  | 6,815 | +3\% |  | 217,559 | 4 | 54,39C | +15\% |  |
|  | 7000 Okanagan-Similkameen RD | 76,635 |  |  |  |  | 2,865 |  |  | 73,770 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35000 Central Okanagan RD | 147,739 |  |  |  |  | 3,950 |  |  | 143,789 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kootenays |  |  | 145,15: | 3 | 48,384 | -2\% |  | 5,745 | +4\% |  | 139,408 | 3 | 46,46¢ | -2\% |  |
|  | 1000 East Kootenay RD | 56,291 |  |  |  |  | 2,895 |  |  | 53,396 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3000 Central Kootenay RD | 57,019 |  |  |  |  | 1,745 |  |  | 55,274 |  |  |  |  | 50,735 |
|  | 5000 Kootenay Boundary RD | 31,843 |  |  |  |  | 1,105 |  |  | 30,738 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Powell River--Lillooet |  |  | 78,375 | 2 | 39,188 | -21\% |  | 6,075 | +8\% |  | 72,300 | 2 | 36,15C | -24\% |  |
|  | 27000 Powell River RD | 19,765 |  |  |  |  | 1,165 |  |  | 18,600 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29000 Sunshine Coast RD | 25,599 |  |  |  |  | 1,215 |  |  | 24,384 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31000 Squamish-Lillooet RD | 33,011 |  |  |  |  | 3,695 |  |  | 29,316 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Island--Central Coast |  |  | 143,36¢ | 3 | 47,789 | -3\% |  | 14,845 | +10\% |  | 128,523 | 3 | 42,841 | -9\% |  |
|  | 23000 Alberni-Clayoquot RD | 30,345 |  |  |  |  | 4,905 |  |  | 25,440 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25000 ComoxStrathcona RD | 96,131 |  |  |  |  | 5,125 |  |  | 91,006 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43000 Mount Waddington RD | 13,111 |  |  |  |  | 2,575 |  |  | 10,536 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45000 Central Coast RD | 3,781 |  |  |  |  | 2,240 |  |  | 1,541 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cowichan--Nanaimo |  |  | 199,014 | 4 | 49,754 | +1\% |  | 11,630 | +6\% |  | 187,384 | 4 | 46,846 | -1\% | 69,105 |
|  | 19000 Cowichan Valley RD | 71,998 |  |  |  |  | 6,255 |  |  | 65,743 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21000 Nanaimo RD | 127,016 |  |  |  |  | 5,375 |  |  | 121,641 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Region |  |  | 325,754 | 6 | 54,292 | +10\% |  | 9,095 | +3\% |  | 316,659 | 6 | 52,777 | +12\% |  |
|  | 17000 Capital RD | 325,754 |  |  |  |  | 9,095 |  |  | 316,659 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GVRD (multiple districts) |  |  | 1,986,96! 3 | 37 | 53,702 | +9\% |  | 36,855 | +2\% |  | 1,950,110 | 37 | 52,706 | +11\% |  |
|  | 15000 Greater Vancouver RD | 1,986,965 |  |  |  |  | 36,855 |  |  | 1,950,110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fraser Valley |  |  | 237,55 | 4 | 59,388 | +20\% |  | 11,525 | +5\% |  | 226,025 | 4 | 56,506 | +19\% |  |
|  | 9000 Fraser Valley RD | 237,550 |  |  |  |  | 11,525 |  |  | 226,025 |  |  |  |  |  |

division of the GVRD into electoral districts

| North Shore |  |  | 176,196 3 | 58,732 | 19\% |  | 2,959 | 2\% |  | 173,237 | 3 | 57,746 | 22\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Vancouver District | 83,567 |  |  |  | 1,079 |  |  | 82,488 |  |  |  |  |
|  | North Vancouver City | 44,642 |  |  |  | 1,210 |  |  | 43,432 |  |  |  |  |
|  | West Vancouver | 43,651 |  |  |  | 630 |  |  | 43,021 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bowen Island | 2,957 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 2,927 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lions Bay | 1,379 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 1,369 |  |  |  |  |
| Vancouver Westside |  |  | 277,962 5 | 55,592 | 12\% |  | 2,585 | 1\% |  | 275,377 | 5 | 55,075 | 16\% |
|  | Vancouver (part) | 277,962 |  |  |  | 2,585 |  |  | 275,377 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Greater Vancouver A | 8,034 |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 7,984 |  |  |  |  |
| Vancouver Eastside |  |  | 268,924 5 | 53,785 | 9\% |  | 8,440 | 3\% |  | 260,484 | 5 | 52,097 | 10\% |
|  | Vancouver (part) | 268,924 |  |  |  | 8,440 |  |  | 260,484 |  |  |  |  |
| Fraser Delta |  |  | 261,774 5 | 52,355 | 6\% |  | 2,870 | 1\% |  | 258,904 | 5 | 51,781 | 9\% |
|  | Delta | 97,429 |  |  |  | 1,700 |  |  | 95,729 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Richmond | 164,345 |  |  |  | 1,170 |  |  | 163,175 |  |  |  |  |
| Burnaby-New Westminster |  |  | 248,610 5 | 49,722 | 1\% |  | 4,740 | 2\% |  | 243,870 | 5 | 48,774 | 3\% |
|  | Burnaby | 193,954 |  |  |  | 3,145 |  |  | 190,809 |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Westminster | 54,656 |  |  |  | 1,595 |  |  | 53,061 |  |  |  |  |
| Tri-Cities |  |  | 268,077 5 | 53,615 | 8\% |  | 5,119 | 2\% |  | 262,958 | 5 | 52,592 | 11\% |
|  | Coquitlam | 112,890 |  |  |  | 1,485 |  |  | 111,405 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Belcarra | 682 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 677 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Anmore | 1,344 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 1,334 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Port Coquitlam | 51,277 |  |  |  | 1,050 |  |  | 50,227 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Port Moody | 23,816 |  |  |  | 480 |  |  | 23,336 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pitt Meadows | 14,894 |  |  |  | 529 |  |  | 14,365 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Maple Ridge | 63,174 |  |  |  | 1,560 |  |  | 61,614 |  |  |  |  |
| Surrey Centre |  |  | 262,846 5 | 52,569 | 6\% |  | 3,946 | 2\% |  | 258,900 | 5 | 51,78C | 9\% |
|  | Whalley | 78,500 |  |  |  | 3,900 |  |  | 74,600 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Guildford | 49,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fleetwood | 43,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43,300 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Newton | 91,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91,700 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Barnston Island 3 | 46 |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Semiahmoo--Langley |  |  | 214,427 4 | 53,607 | 8\% |  | 6,206 | 3\% |  | 208,221 | 4 | 52,05 | 10\% |
|  | Cloverdale | 30,900 |  |  |  | 3,000 |  |  | 27,900 |  |  |  |  |
|  | South Surrey | 54,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54,100 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Langley District | 87,403 |  |  |  | 2,230 |  |  | 85,173 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Langley City | 23,643 |  |  |  | 745 |  |  | 22,898 |  |  |  |  |
|  | White Rock | 18,250 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  | 18,150 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Semiahmoo | 131 |  |  |  | 131 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |

source for regional district data: http://www12.statcan.ca/english/Profilolab/PlaceSearchForm1 .cfm
some values within GVRD have been estimated

The effects on the general electoral of removing $100 \%$ of the aboriginal population from each electoral district is also shown in table 4. I have assumed that the three aboriginal seats are created by enlarging the legislature to 82 seats, rather than by decreasing the number of generalelectorate MLAs to 76.

The provincial quota is decreased to 47,313 , and the underrepresentation of the GVRD increases from $9 \%$ to $11 \%$. More critically, however, the range of overrepresentation in northern ridings increases from $29 \%-39 \%$ to $39 \%-44 \%$. This is because over 50,000 aboriginal people have been removed from those 10 circuits, a full provincial quota, and much more than a typical northern quota. In practical terms, this might make it necessary to reorganize the northern seats into three 3-member districts, with the tenth northern MLA being the member for Northern First Nations.

A possible solution might be as follows:

|  |  | 102,474 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District | 13,988 |  |
| Kitimat-Stikine Regional District | 29,911 |  |
| Stikine Region | 781 |  |
| Cariboo Regional District | 57,794 |  |
|  |  | 87,905 |
| Peace River Regional District | 4,670 |  |
| Northern Rockies Regional District | 34,836 |  |
| Bulkley-Nechako Regional District |  | 8611 |
|  | 86,447 |  |
|  |  | 86,447 |


[^0]:    1. As part of the voting-reform package, the Assembly should recommend creation of a permanent, non-partisan B.C. Democracy Commission, which would be charged with the review of the voting system and the administration of political parties, its mandate to uphold the democratic right of citizens to meaningful participation in all aspects of the political process.
