

TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TIED PURPOSE

Harold C. Daykin

August 09, 2004

A. IN GENERAL

- (1) To enable an ambitious **History 12 (World History) Team** (possibly collaborating with a Senior Secondary Computer Science Team) to prepare and **do a rerun** (with or without computer) of either the **1996** or the **2001 Election** to verify whether:
 - (a) All the required test input, proposed throughput, and test output **has been supplied**
 - (b) They get the same answers.
- (2) To verify whether **Election Officials** of good, but ordinary, education **could** do the work on **election night** to determine with dispatch:
 - (a) Which **party** or **parties** have **won** the **Region's Compensation Seat(s)** (just one or two such seats for each Region)
 - (b) Which **candidate** or candidate **for such parties** (running somewhere in that Region but having lost that riding contest) have **earned the right to hold those Compensation Seats**.
- ***** (3) For a good first look, the **Citizens' Assembly member** might do well to read just **Items 2, 4 and 5**, then his / her **own Region for No. 6**, then number 3. Citizen Assembly members of South east, Northeast or Northwest B. C. will be especially interested in numbers 30 to 33.

B. SPECIFICALLY

- (1) Title Page: "Mixed Member Proportional for B. C. ..." – Submission on August 09, 2004. Notes the emergence of this **Super-Lean Proposal out of the Princeton hearings** of June 14, 2004, originally done in an earlier submission of July 13, 2004.
- (2) "The Logic of a Super-Lean Approach to Voting System Reform" is a one-page summary of the "company of others" and the overall logic in the current **ten-seat** proposal.
- (3) One-page summary of Goals, Proposals, Rationale, and Pre-Adoption Testing. The proposal details here give virtually all that a practitioner needs to hear in order to walk through the material and do a rerun of it (the author of this proposal did it by hand).
- (4) One-page summary of **which party would have won** each Compensation Seat in the six relevant Regions: **Election of 1996**; entitled "Mixed Member Proportional ... With Ten Compensation Seats". Note especially the summary figures at the **bottom of the page**.
- (5) Same as in (4), but for the **2001 Election**
- (6) (11) "Top Loser" and Riding Detail for 1996 Election – for Vancouver Island, West Lower Mainland, North of Fraser, South of Fraser, Okanagan and Interior Central.
- (12) (17) For the same election (1996) and for the same regions: charts headed "Allocation of Compensation P. R. Seats". One should have no fear of these charts, with their slightly technical language: all the mathematics needed is Grade 7 arithmetic (dividing by 1.4).
- (18) (23) Same as in (6) to (11), but for the 2001 Election
- (24) (29) Same as in (12) to (17), but for the 2001 Election
- (30) (33) For the 1996 Elections: Summary of Possible Changes of on Alternative Vote System" – this for Regions Southeast, Northeast, and Northwest B. C. This system is proposed for those three scattered regions because it does not necessitate any extra seats and so does not necessitate the combining and loss of existing ridings. The front page here should be read in connection with number (4) above to see a total claim for reversal of 1996 results.